

P30 MSR Evaluation Working Group:

Drs. David Mathews and Michael Chapman (Co-chairs), Drs. Sarah Bowman, Vadim Cherezov, Krzysztof Fidelis, Hazel Holden, and Martina Ralle

### Evaluation of NIGMS P30 Mature Synchrotron Resources (MSR) Program

### **P30 MSR Evaluation Working Group**

- Sarah Bowman, Ph.D. Associate Professor, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY
- Michael Chapman, Ph.D. Professor, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO (Co-Chair)
- Vadim Cherezov, Ph.D. Professor, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA
- Krzysztof Fidelis, Ph.D. Professor, University of California at Davis, Davis, CA
- Hazel Holden, Ph.D. Professor, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI
- David Mathews, M.D./Ph.D. Professor, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY (Co-Chair, Councilor)
- Martina Ralle, Ph.D. Professor, Oregon Health & Sciences University, Portland, OR

### Acknowledgements

The P30 MSR evaluation working group expresses thanks to NIGMS staff for data, analysis, and helpful background information on MSR

- Richard Aragon, Ph.D.
- Dorothy Beckett, Ph.D.
- David Bochner, Ph.D.
- Thomas Cho, Ph.D.
- Anne Gershenson, Ph.D.

- Paula Flicker, Ph.D.
- Andrew Miklos, Ph.D.
- Katie Patel, MSPH
- Kamilah Rashid, Pharm.D.
- Troy Zarcone, Ph.D.



### **P30 MSR Evaluation Report**

- Background on the P30 MSR program
- Key questions and findings
- Working group recommendations



#### P30 Mature Synchrotron Resources (MSR) Program Objective

 Overarching: Ensure that the biomedical research community has access to state-of-the art synchrotron beamline technologies for biological research applications

- Maintain or upgrade resources to current best practices
- Advertise resource capabilities and availability to the biomedical research community through outreach activities
- Provide user training and support in data collection, processing and analysis
- \* Language based on PAR-22-166



#### **MSR Structure**

#### Resource Facility

#### • Cores

oAdministrative

User Training and OutreachTechnology Operations

#### Intended Resource Users

Biomedical Research Community



# **Synchrotron Techniques**



Source: https://www.berstructuralbioportal.org/techniques-overview/

Synchrotron technique available at NIGMS-funded synchrotron resources



### **NIGMS MSR Sites by Location**



Synchrotron	Resource
Advanced Light Source (ALS)	ALS-ENABLE
Advanced Light Source (ALS)	ALS-NCXT
Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL)	SSRL-SMB
Advanced Photon Source (APS)	APS-GMCA
Advanced Photon Source (APS)	APS-Bio-CAT
Advanced Photon Source (APS)	APS-NE-CAT
Cornell High Energy Synchrotron Source	MacCHESS
National Synchrotron Light Source II	NSLS-II-CBMS



### **MSR Program Investment**



- Prior to FY 2017, the synchrotron resources were funded by NIGMS through the P41 mechanism or Inter-Agency Agreements (IAA)
- After transitioning resources to the MSR program (P30) from 2017-2021, the number of resources has been stable at 8
  - Investment in the P30 MSR program has been relatively constant since FY 2020

     Around \$22 million awarded per year



#### Beamline and Techniques Overview

#### 8 resources, 36 Beamlines (BL)

- Many beamlines are partially supported by NIGMS
  - Approximately 21 effective beamlines supported:
    - MX: 11 BL
    - SAXS: 3.75 BL
    - Other: 6.25 BL
- All resources offer remote access, although some techniques may require on-site access
- Time allotted for general users varies with some resources dedicating 100% access to beamlines, while others offer less user time





### **Key Evaluation Questions**

- 1. Has the P30 MSR program been effective in meeting its objectives?
- 2. Are the current objectives of the P30 MSR program appropriate for its intended impacts?
- **3.** Can specific areas of the P30 MSR program be optimized, improved, or strengthened?
- 4. Are there additional recommendations or key findings that can inform the assessment or improvement of the P30 MSR program?



#### MSR Program Has Been Effective In Meeting Its Objectives

- MSR program supports an important aspect of the diverse ecosystem of structural biology technologies
- In a post-AlphaFold era (2021-), structural biology is needed to improve models and to provide difficult-to-model structures (such as RNA)
- It leverages a large investment across agencies
  - Department of Energy Basic Sciences program provides ~\$500m annually to support storage rings and additional beamlines
  - Department of Energy invested ~\$2b in synchrotron overhauls



#### Goal 1: Providing access to state-of-theart synchrotron beamline technologies for biological research applications



#### **Resource Beamtime Hours**

#### P30 MSR Number of Averaged Beamtime Hours Per Beamline By Year and Resource



- The amount of available beamtime hours is fairly consistent from year-to-year barring any serious shutdowns (i.e., COVID-19 / Safety / Upgrades)
- For all resources that reported in 2020, there were lower beamtime hours per beamline compared to other years, likely due to COVID-19 shutdowns



#### **Resource Users: Number of Users**



- Many resources saw a dip in users in 2020, likely due to COVID-19
- For most resources, the number of users have been consistent or increased However, a few have seen decreases
  - Downtime may impact users
  - Some resources address planned downtime through agreements with other resources to absorb user requests

### **Number of Publications**



- Includes publications that cite a P30/P41 NIGMS Synchrotron Resource grant as well as publications identified in the RPPR under publications enabled by the resource
- Publications have been relatively constant, with some noteworthy observations:
  - An increase in 2020, likely due to COVID-19
  - Slight decline over the last 3 years (2021-2023), which could be due to reporting lag, COVID-19 impacts, or general decreases

### **Number of Released PDB Depositions**



Depositions associated with most methods have leveled off, except for Electron Microscopy (EM), for which depositions continue to climb

#### Goals 2 and 3: Advertising resource capabilities and availability through outreach activities, providing user training, and supporting in data collection, processing, and analysis



#### **Resource Users: Outreach is successful**

#### NIGMS MSR Users Per NIH FY 2023 Funding (Millions) by State

2018-2023, IDeA States with Yellow Border



- The MSRs attract users globally, but the majority come from the US
- 90% of States have users accessing the MSRs
- 75% of <u>IDeA states</u> have users utilizing the MSRs
- These support that outreach is successful



# Recommendations



#### NIGMS Should Encourage Initiatives to Improve User Experience

#### **1.** Encourage Beamlines to Standardize:

- a) Application format and process
- b) User interfaces
- c) Training (merit badge system to certify competencies)
- d) Data retention policies and long-term storage needs

#### 2. Fostering consensus approaches through all-MSR meetings

- a) Annual; PIs + key participants
- b) Funded through supplement to host MSR?



#### **Possible Commitment Level for Training**

#### Inspired by Cryo-EM initiative

• Curriculum Development grants, separate from Centers:

4 proposals awarded, totaling ~ \$490,000 / year
 Corresponds to 3% of total funding to Centers

- In addition to significant training expected within the Cryo-EM National Centers
- Curriculum development grants focus on common denominator training needs



### NIGMS Should Provide Reporting Templates

- 1. Future program evaluations would benefit from additional data (application numbers, success rates, wait times for each capability)
- 2. Goal is to not increase the reporting burden, but simplify the process of reporting and then analysis of data



# Should the P30 MSR Mechanism Support Research?

1. Community feedback requested support for beamline technology development research

#### **2.** Working group was divided:

- a) One perspective: beamline technology development is supported by NIGMS appropriate that proposals are judged for impact relative to other research
- b) Other perspective: beamline scientists might be systematically disadvantaged
- c) NIGMS should consider whether targeted research funding could be included under the P30 mechanism

# R01/R21/R35 Technology Development

- R01 / R21 technology development and R35 NIGMS applications were pulled from FY 2017-2024 from MSR organizations or key personnel on MSR P30 awards
- Applications were checked for synchrotron-based technology development
- From FY 2017-2024 there were:
  - R01 Synchrotron Technology Development
    - 14 submitted projects from 4 (50%) MSRs
    - 4 awarded projects to 2 (25%) MSRs
  - R21 Synchrotron Technology Development
    - 5 submitted projects from 2 (25%) MSRs
    - 2 awarded projects to 2 (25%) MSRs
  - R35 Synchrotron Technology Development
    - 3 awarded projects from 2 (25%) MSRs

### Outreach and Support Activities Could Be Enhanced

- 1. Beamlines could offer summer internships for undergraduates and graduate students (enhance training and to encourage trainees to enter careers as beamline scientists)
- 2. Beamlines could consider enhancing available storage and computer processing capabilities (targeted to more resource-limited institutions)
  - a) Require a funding commitment from NIGMS and could be part of next RFA

### NIGMS Should Consider the Level of Beamline Funding

- 1. Community feedback suggested that beamline funding might be inadequate for long-term sustainability
- 2. NIGMS should weigh the importance of maintaining beamline support and its impact against other programs



#### MSR Program Investment in Constant 2023 Dollars



- The P30 Mature Synchrotron Resource program has been approximately 0.75% of the NIGMS budget since 2014
- This represents a substantial investment by NIGMS

NIH