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Executive Summary

NIGMS’s postdoctoral National Research Service Award (NRSA) T32 Institutional Training Grants seek to increase physician involvement in research in 4 areas of biomedicine that fall within NIGMS’s purview due to broad, systemic mechanisms of disease/treatment. These areas include Medical Genetics; Clinical Pharmacology; Burn, Trauma, and Perioperative Injury; and Anesthesiology. In May 2018, the NIGMS convened an external panel of experts as a working group of the NIGMS Advisory Council to examine whether these institutional clinical postdoctoral research training (CPRT) T32 programs are achieving their goal.

Overall, the panel noted that postdoctoral institutional T32 grants are a common NIH-wide pathway for developing physician scientists through mentored research during later years of training (e.g., residency, fellowship, transition to faculty). This makes them distinct from predoctoral programs such as the NIGMS Medical Scientist Training Program (MSTP, leading to the MD-PhD degree). Twenty-one percent of all U.S. physicians practice in surgical disciplines, anesthesiology, emergency medicine, or critical care medicine, but only 13% of physicians in these fields are MD-PhDs. This means fewer medical scientists trained at the predoctoral stage are active in these focus areas. Given this, the panel found that the NIGMS CPRT T32 program supports physician scientist research training opportunities in these research areas that are not supported by other categorical NIH Institutes.

While the panel was able to make a meaningful assessment of NIGMS postdoctoral T32 program outcomes with the considerable amount of data provided by the NIGMS Office of Program Planning, Analysis, and Evaluation (OPAE), it should be noted that outcome parameters may have been underestimated as some data were not accessible (e.g., research funding by industry or other national federal/non-federal entities, details of faculty appointment, etc.). Overall, NIGMS postdoctoral T32 programs demonstrate similar outcomes in terms of grant applications/awards, publications, and faculty status, as other postdoctoral T32s across the NIH. Achievement of senior faculty status also compares favorably with MSTP graduates. Of note, in terms of diversity (percentage of under-represented minorities and women), NIGMS CPRT T32s compare favorably with other postdoctoral T32 programs and the MSTP, and significantly better than K08/K23 programs. Approximately 34% of all NIGMS postdoctoral T32 trainees ultimately apply for investigator-initiated NIH research grants, with 54% of those applicants receiving subsequent NIH funding (≈20% overall). Those with at least one funded grant averaged 3.1 to 4.4 grants per funded trainee depending upon their CPRT focus area. This proportion of trainees receiving NIH grant funding is approximately half that achieved by individual K08 awardees. However, panel members cited the need for trainees to generate data (often within the context of a postdoctoral T32 training program) to compete successfully for K08/K23 or R01 funding. Panel members also noted that the impact of institutional T32 training grants goes beyond supporting individuals, to positively influencing programs and institutions in developing physician scientists.

Given these findings, the panel recommends that NIGMS maintain its support for the CPRT postdoctoral NRSA programs and that the focus areas be assessed with regard to changes in clinical research and practice, as well as changes in the financial obstacles faced by clinician scientists that have occurred since the inception of the programs. The issues for each research
focus are unique and need to be considered individually. Finally, the panel notes that NIGMS (and ideally, the NIH more broadly) should delineate clear goals for all training programs and develop metrics that will allow continuous assessment of program success individually and nationally.

Introduction

Institutional postdoctoral T32s are part of the Ruth L. Kirschstein National Research Service Awards (NRSA)s established in 1974 by the National Research Service Award Act; this award mechanism has been in continuous operation since that time. Within NIGMS, postdoctoral institutional T32s support researchers in 4 specified shortage areas: 1) Medical Genetics; 2) Clinical Pharmacology; 3) Burn, Trauma, and Perioperative Injury; and 4) Anesthesiology. These clinical research programs are located in NIGMS because they address broad, systemic mechanisms of disease/treatment and therefore do not fit into the missions of the disease- or organ systems-based categorical NIH Institutes. NIGMS’s NRSA program has been a primary means of supporting clinical postdoctoral research training programs relevant to the NIH mission for the last 40 years. Physicians interested in research often do not have the protected time necessary to begin or continue scientific training/research during their residency, fellowship, and early career years. Programs developed with these institutional training grants provide protected and mentored research time for physicians to develop skills and identities as scientists, and to train in basic or clinical/translational areas. These institutional grants aim to prepare trainees for careers that have a significant impact on the health-related research needs of the Nation.

Institutions receiving these awards are expected to include training opportunities in the fundamental concepts of multidisciplinary research, as well as research techniques critical to trainee areas of focus. Additional program activities have included attendance at local and national scientific meetings and workshops, training in specific methods or analytic techniques (sometimes including working toward a MS or MPH degree), and training designed to enhance research independence and scientific capability. This clinical postdoctoral research training (CPRT) program aims to enhance and develop research training for physicians interested in research careers relevant to the NIH mission. Specific program goals focus on increasing the number of clinicians trained in research methodology and involved in research throughout the course of their careers.

Overview of NIGMS Postdoctoral T32 Program (1990-2016)

History: Program began in 1974; data examined by the evaluation panel covered years 1990-2016.

Goal: Develop physician scientists through mentored research during later years of clinical training.

Why Train Physician/Clinician Scientists? Clinician scientists are uniquely trained to bridge the gap between scientific discoveries and clinical medicine. This small cadre of physicians, trained in both clinical medicine and science (basic, translational, clinical) identify challenging clinical problems and bring them to the laboratory, as well as translate new laboratory discoveries to the clinic. As reported in the NIH Physician-Scientist Workforce Working Group Report in 2014,1
physician scientists are considered an endangered species in the medical workforce, making dedicated training pathways imperative.

**Postdoctoral T32 Intervention Timing:** Postdoctoral research training occurs during post-medical school residency, fellowship, or transition to faculty years (Figure 1).

**NIH Institutes Participating in Postdoctoral T32 Research Training:** Nearly all NIH Institutes participate in postdoctoral T32 training programs. Specifically, 20 NIH Institutes besides NIGMS sponsor primarily clinical postdoctoral T32 programs. Between 1999 and 2003 there were 810 unique postdoctoral programs funded by these 20 institutes (defined as programs with >90% postdoctoral trainees) and of these, 374 have ≥70% trainees with medical degrees (Figure 2).

**Years of CPRT T32 Training:** At least 2 years of training are expected (80% overall research effort).

**Location of Current NIGMS CPRT T32 Awardees:** In 2016, NIGMS supported 45 T32 programs at 35 institutions in 21 states across the U.S.

**Total number of NIGMS CPRT program participants (1990-2016):** 2119

**Four Current NIGMS CPRT (T32) Programs / Focus Areas** (Figures 3 and 4):
- Medical Genetics (26%)
- Clinical Pharmacology (21%)
- Burn, Trauma, and Perioperative Injury (37%)
- Anesthesiology (17%)

**Number of CPRT T32 Awards Per Year:** From 1992-2015, the number of CPRT T32 trainees grew from 120 to 190/year, averaging 145/year over that period. Given 190 trainees in 45 T32 programs in the U.S. in 2015/2016, this averages 4.2 trainees per program (including all training years).

**Trainee Characteristics:** On average 73% of the 2119 program trainees are physicians (MD 60%, MD-PhD 13%) (Figure 5). The percentage of MD versus PhD trainees for each T32 program is shown in Figure 6.

**Percent NIGMS CPRT T32 Trainees Who Completed the MSTP Program:** 4% of NIGMS T32 trainees were former NIGMS MSTP trainees.

**Comparison Groups**

The panel determined that the best comparator group for NIGMS CPRT T32 programs is similar postdoctoral T32 programs supported by other NIH Institutes. However, data available for this comparison was limited to application and success data for NIH awards. Since the MSTP trains MD-PhD students and the training is longer, occurs during medical school, and covers a much wider array of research areas, the committee felt the MSTP was not a good comparison for the
CPRT programs. Similarly, the K mechanisms support trainees at later career stages and differ in the type of mentorship and in their selection criteria. Thus, although not good comparators for this assessment, the latter groups proved useful in providing some insights into the broader issues and nature of training and support of physician scientists.

Caveats

**NIGMS Postdoctoral CPRT T32 Programs in Context:** In assessing the CRPT programs it is critical to recognize broader issues in the overall national effort to train and develop a highly skilled workforce of physician scientists.\(^2\) Since these programs are targeted to a specific stage in the training path (Figure 1) and highly focused on 4 specific areas relevant to NIGMS, assessment of the data must be made in this context.

**Data Limitations:** The panel recognized that data collection for these programs over time is challenging and the efforts of OPAE staff were commendable and extensive (in several cases this required integrating several internal/external databases, which required individual validation before being presented to the review group). In spite of these efforts, some data were not available (e.g., research career outcomes in government and industrial settings, grants obtained by trainees from non-NIH government sources [NSF, DOD, PCORI] or national foundations, details of faculty status, additional degrees obtained [MS, MPH], co-investigator status on grants, some publications not associated with a NIH grant, or even data complicated by name changes). As a result, there is likely under-reporting in all groups, but particularly with this group of physician scientists who are commonly funded via a combination of NIH and non-NIH sources over their careers. Despite these limitations, the panel was able to make a reasonable assessment of the program.

**Evolution of Scientific Fields:** A final key factor is that these 4 programs have been in existence for many years and the nature of medical research and research training in each specialty have evolved over time. These factors are not captured in the data collected, and are therefore outside the scope of this evaluation, but they are critical to future planning by NIGMS. Some of these issues include the establishment of the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI), which funds basic science aspects of human genome science and which might be able to partner in some clinical genetics/genomics training; introduction of pharmacogenetics as an important discipline within clinical pharmacology; integration of pain medicine more closely with anesthesiology; and blurring of boundaries between burn, trauma, and perioperative injury and resuscitation science and surgical critical care outcomes.

**Evaluation of Program Effectiveness**

Evaluation of the NIGMS CPRT T32 programs by the review committee started with answering the 4 questions listed below.

**QUESTION 1:** Has the T32 Clinical Postdoctoral Research program been successful in increasing clinician's participation in the research community?

Overall the panel consensus was YES, NIGMS CPRT T32 programs have had a positive impact in increasing clinician participation in research. This is because rigorous research training can occur
at various points throughout a physician’s education (pre-medical school, medical school, residency, fellowship, beginning faculty years; Figure 1). Acceptance into most MD-PhD programs occurs at the time of entry into medical school or in year 1, yet some medical students discover an interest in science later. Reviewers noted that the concept of “multiple on-ramps” to a scientific career better reflects optimal physician scientist training opportunities than the classic “pipeline” description. Thus, the ability to train physician scientists in the 4 NIGMS CPRT programs later in their careers is important. Each specialty has some unique features in training needs and field-specific challenges (e.g., financial issues and/or clinical time commitments) that influence the path and length of time to a successful research career, but all share key similarities that aid in increasing clinician participation in research.

NIGMS CPRT programs target MD and MD-PhD degree holders; the pool for this training are MDs who develop a strong interest in these areas of research most often during residency. Overall, 73% of all NIGMS T32 trainees have MD degrees and most of the rest have PhD degrees (Figure 5). In the Medical Genetics programs 70% of the trainees had MD degrees, while 80% of the trainees in the other three programs had MD degrees (Figure 6). T32 programs provide trainees with financial support for protected research time coupled with programmatic activities and mentored research instruction aimed at preparing them for pursuit of research careers. While the 2014 NIH Physician-Scientist Workforce Working Group suggested shifting somewhat from T32 toward individual investigator-initiated training awards (e.g., NIH K or F series), the panel noted that for this group of investigators, 18-24 months of T32 research is often needed to obtain preliminary data facilitating successful individual K08/K23 applications. As has been reviewed elsewhere, such investigator-initiated training awards are an important step toward successful K99 and R01 awards, and ultimately long-term research careers as physician scientists.

The panel noted that within an institution CPRT T32 programs facilitate development of a research-oriented environment and a more discipline-diverse research training community of mentors, collaborators, and non-T32-supported fellows. One panel member described this as providing more research “oxygen” within departments. Another member pointed out that MSTP program directors generally advise medical students interested in surgical/trauma/anesthesiology specialties to apply specifically to residency programs that have T32 grants since this is viewed as a marker of serious scientific commitment by a division, department, or program.

The review panel noted that NIGMS CPRT T32 programs have contributed significantly to development of a more diverse clinical research workforce. Figure 7 shows gender demographics for NIGMS programs over time. In terms of training women physician scientists, the CPRT T32 program compares favorably with MSTP and K08/K23 programs. Figure 8 shows racial/ethnic demographics over the same period. Again, institutional T32s racial/ethnic diversity compares favorably with both MSTP and K08/K23 programs.

In terms of program outcomes, the proportion of CPRT T32 graduates who seek and garner research support, and ultimately have independent research programs, is comparable to trainees from other postdoctoral training programs across the NIH that seeking to involve MDs in research. These outcomes are considered in detail in answering the next three questions.
QUESTION 2: Do T32 appointee career trajectories continue towards research and scientific enterprise?

It is important to note that surgery, anesthesiology, and clinical genetics have long clinical residency and fellowship training periods. Depending on when research training occurs, many years may pass before faculty status is possible. Therefore, the review panel focused on intermediate and long-term outcomes following completion of the CPRT T32 program, since grants and publications may be shifted several years later compared to other programs. Ultimately, attainment of a senior level academic career position is a marker for overall long-term research/academic success.

For question 2, the review panel examined whether CPRT T32 program graduates stay in academic medicine. Physician scientists have many pathways beyond academic medicine by which they may stay involved in science. These include working for government agencies, pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies, non-profit organizations, and in science policy leadership positions. Unfortunately, the panel could not evaluate these career pathways since currently such data are not captured in a consistent manner. In terms of faculty status, Figure 9 shows a merging of AAMC faculty data with national data on board certification. These data suggest that of the 2119 CPRT T32 trainees from 1990-2016, 1299 (61%) have clinical board certification and 579 (27%) are current members of the faculty at an academic medical center. The panel noted that board certification numbers appear internally consistent. Given that ≈70% of T32 trainees are MDs, recent MD T32 trainees (e.g., surgery disciplines) may not have completed their residency, and for many specialties board certification can take up to 1-5 years post-residency to accomplish, trainees from the last 5 years might not yet be board certified or been able to join the faculty.

For those CPRT T32 trainees with faculty appointments, 89% have an MD degree. Figure 11 shows which departments CPRT T32 and MSTP graduates join. Overall, T32 graduates join surgery, anesthesia, basic science, medicine and pediatrics departments, all areas relevant to the 4 NIGMS focus areas, at higher rates relative to MSTP trainees. Figure 12 compares CPRT T32 and MSTP faculty at their highest AAMC rank; in this comparison, CPRT T32 graduates compare favorably in terms of the percent of graduates who ultimately reach Associate Professor and Full Professor rank.

Taken together, CPRT T32 trainees appear to join the faculty and to be promoted to more senior levels at similar rates to other NIH-funded physician scientist trainees. However, more nuanced analysis is not possible. The review panel noted that data for comparison of faculty promotion track were not available, and even if available might be complicated by inconsistent definitions across universities. In general, tenure track and clinician scientist promotion tracks at academic medical centers reflect more research-intensive careers compared with more clinically-oriented clinical educator or clinician promotion tracks. As such, capturing promotion track information might provide a marker for guiding evaluation of effectiveness of individual physician scientist training pathways going forward. Mentoring is an important aspect of being a faculty member, and research training provides a unique vantage point from which to mentor the next generation of physician scientists, no matter what the faculty member’s career track.
Question 3: What outcomes are correlated with completion of T32 training programs?

Key indicators of a successful research career are research funding and publications. The review panel therefore examined research grant applications, grant awards, and scientific publications for CPRT T32 trainees. Of the 2119 CPRT trainees identified from 1990-2016, 718 (34%) submitted NIH grant applications after the training period (Figure 13). Figure 14 shows distribution of these applications by program areas, with alumni of the medical genetics and anesthesiology programs having the highest percentage of NIH applications (51% and 42%, respectively). The overall 34% NIGMS T32 NIH grant submission rate is comparable to the 32% seen for non-NIGMS T32 programs across the NIH (Figure 15). Figure 13 shows that 386 (54% of the NIH grant applicants) received NIH funding (≈20% of all CPRT trainees), with the highest percent securing NIH grants in medical genetics and anesthesiology (Figure 16). However, taking into account the success rate (success rate = number of applications submitted / total applications by area), the overall success rate is similar in all 4 areas at ≈23% (burn/trauma 19%, medical genetics 24%, clinical pharmacology 26%, anesthesiology 21%; Figure 17).

Figure 18 compares NIGMS T32 CPRT trainees with trainees in other training pathways within the NIH. NIGMS CPRT T32 trainees apply for NIH funding at similar rates to non-NIGMS T32 and MSTP graduates, although CPRT trainees have slightly fewer funded NIH grants. Both MSTP and all T32 trainees apply for, and receive, NIH grants at approximately half the rate of K08/K23 awardees. K08/K23 graduates most often have had prior research experience (e.g., T32 or MSTP training) since successful applications for these awards tend to require preliminary data. The CPRT T32 or MSTP trainees who are successful in receiving a K08/K23 awards are captured in the K-series outcomes, as well as in their original training mechanism.

Another potentially strong indicator of continued research career success is receipt of multiple NIH grants, which was seen for 5-6% for CPRT T32 trainees. Figure 19 shows that for this subset, the total number of NIH grants ranges from 3.1 to 4.4 per trainee, depending on focus area.

Since it is known that K awardees are twice as likely to apply for, and receive, NIH research support than other trainees, application for K awards provides a good indicator of movement toward a research career. For the period examined, 185 K awards were obtained by CPRT trainees from any NIH institute (roughly 9% of the trainees): 28 burn/trauma, 75 medical genetics, 40 pharmacology, 42 anesthesiology (Figure 20). It should be noted that NIGMS K awards are made only in the areas of anesthesiology, clinical pharmacology and trauma/burn injury, and not in medical genetics. Indeed, it is not uncommon for CPRT T32 trainees to apply for K awards from other NIH institutes, since once a research project is defined, it may ultimately fit better within specific mission of other NIH institutes. The panel viewed any NIH K award, no matter the institute of origin, as a success for NIGMS CPRT T32 trainees.

Scientific publications offer another measure of a successful research trajectory. About 11-14% of the CPRT trainees cited an NIH grant in their publications after completion of the program. First author publications for the subset of CPRT T32 trainees appointed in 2000 are shown in Figure 21, and total publications in Figure 22. Compared with K08/K23 and MSTP graduates, CPRT T32 trainees who publish have remarkably similar publication rates; this is surprising given their significantly shorter training time (2 versus 4-5 years). These data also suggest K08/K23 grantees...
have a somewhat higher number of publications compared with CPRT T32 and MSTP trainees. Figure 23 shows publication time lines for both CPRT T32 and MSTP trainees. It should be remembered that MSTP training occurs for 3-4 years during medical school and is followed by a hiatus when the MSTP trainees complete clinical portions of medical school, residency and sometimes fellowship; the bi-modal distribution of publications for MSTP graduates in Figure 23 shows this clearly. In contrast, T32 training usually comes at the end of residency or fellowship and is most often 2 years in duration.

**Question 4: Do trainees remain clinically in their area of research focus after research training is completed?**

YES. AAMC faculty department membership in surgical disciplines, anesthesiology, emergency medicine, medical genetics, and critical care medicine, indicate that CPRT T32 trainees are overrepresented in the focus areas of this training program compared with MSTP trainees. Since CPRT T32 research occurs during either residency, fellowship, or transition to faculty, clinical aspects of trainee careers are generally set by the time they begin their T32 research experience. What can change is the precise focus of research within those disciplines as a subset of trainees move from mentored to independent investigators. The panel viewed this as healthy since science changes continually and cutting-edge scientific approaches are then integrated into these clinical disciplines.

**Summary**

Overall, NIGMS CPRT T32 programs demonstrate similar outcomes, in terms of grant applications/awards, publications, and faculty status, as other clinical postdoctoral T32s across the NIH. Achievement of senior faculty status also compares favorably with that of MSTP graduates. Approximately 34% of all NIGMS postdoctoral T32 trainees ultimately apply for investigator-initiated NIH research grants, with 54% of those applicants receiving subsequent NIH funding (≈20% overall); those with at least one grant averaged 3.1 to 4.4 per trainee. This is approximately half that achieved by individual K08 awardees. However, panel members cited the need for trainees to generate data (often within the context of a postdoctoral T32 training program) to compete successfully for K08/K23 or R01 funding. Panel members also noted that the impact of institutional T32 training grants goes beyond supporting individuals, to positively influencing programs and institutions in developing physician scientists. Given these data, the panel felt NIGMS T32 programs fulfill a critical niche in physician scientist research training not supported by the categorical NIH Institutes.

**Panel Recommendations**

1. The panel recommends that NIGMS continue its support of the postdoctoral NRSA CPRT T32 programs and re-assess the emphasis of the programs in regard to changes in clinical research and practice, as well as changes in financial obstacles faced by clinician scientists that have occurred since the inception of the programs (e.g., income differential compared to clinical pursuits). The issues for each research area have unique characteristics that need to be considered individually.
2. NIGMS should define clear goals for its clinically focused research training programs (CPRT T32, MSTP, K08/K23) and develop metrics that can be routinely collected by each CPRT program and collectively by NIGMS for all CPRT programs. Such data could provide a basis for measuring success and continuing enhancement for each program and for CPRT programs overall. The committee felt that, if required, support for such data collection would be beneficial for all institutional and individual training grant mechanisms.

3. Medicine and clinical research have evolved over the last 30 years; research technology, clinical pressures and research areas have changed, and NIGMS should reexamine the focus areas of these programs to ensure they are best serving the clinical research workforce needs.

Some suggestions:

- The discipline of Medical Genetics has undergone significant changes including the establishment of NHGRI, success of human genome study, introduction of genomic technology into the clinic, and board certification. The Institute should explore with NHGRI areas of commonality with the possibility of co-funding or the transfer of medical genetics training to NHGRI.

- Broaden Trauma, Burn, and Injury to include emergency and critical care medicine.

- Examine Clinical Pharmacology to see what it encompasses today (for example, pharmacogenetics, systems biology, mathematical models, big data), current workforce needs, and how this fits within the NIH. Input should be sought from experts in this field.

- Consider incorporating pain medicine more clearly within the Anesthesiology focus area.

4. Examine the feasibility of expanding loan repayment eligibility for clinical investigators in the 4 NIGMS focus areas, once updated. This is important since these trainees fulfill critical niche in medical research not supported by other categorical NIH Institutes.


Figure 1: Timing of Postdoctoral T32 (CPRT) Programs Compared with Other Physician Research Training Grants
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Figure 2: Non-NIGMS T32 Postdoctoral Programs (1999-2003)

Most institutes within NIH fund T32 postdoctoral programs.

- N = 810

T32 programs had ≥ 70% appointees with medical degrees.
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Figure 3: Trainees Participating in NIGMS T32 CPRT by Focus Area

Appointees Participating in T32 CPRT by Focus Areas (1990-2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma, Burn, and Perioperative Injury (TB)</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Genetics (MG)</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Pharmacology (CP)</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesiology (AN)</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Trainees Participating in NIGMS T32 CPRT by Year and Focus Area Over Time

Appointees Participating by Year and in CPRT Focus Areas
Average = 145 trainees/year; 1991 128, 2015 190
Figure 5: Majority of NIGMS CPRT Postdoctoral T32 Trainees Are Physicians (73%)

Figure 6: Percent MD or MD-PhD versus PhD Trainees for Each Focus Area
[73% of T32 CPRT Trainees held a medical degree (MD)]
Figure 7: NIGMS CPRT T32 Programs Recruitment of Women to Science Training

Figure 8: NIGMS CPRT T32 Programs Recruitment of Under Represented Minorities to Science Training
Figure 9: Board Certification and Faculty Status of CPRT T32 Trainees (1990-2016)
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Figure 10: Degrees Of CPRT T32 Trainees Who Joined Academic Medical Faculty

Degrees of Appointees on AAMC Faculty Rosters
N = 579 – 27% of T32 CPRT Appointees
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Figure 11: Departments Joined As Faculty By CPRT T32 versus MSTP Trainees

CPRT Appointee Faculty Department

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Department</th>
<th>CPRT</th>
<th>MSTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgery and EM</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatrics</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Medicine &amp; Science</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesiology</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicine (Specialty)</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Genetics &amp; OB/GYN</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 579

Faculty department data contains AAMC Faculty only

Figure 12: Highest Academic Position for CPRT T32 versus MSTP

CPRT and MSTP AAMC Faculty Highest Academic Position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>CPRT</th>
<th>MSTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Prof</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associate Prof</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 13: Schematic of NIGMS CPRT T32 Trainees Who Later Applied for NIH Funding

1 in 3 CPRT Appointees Later Applied for NIH Funding

- 2119 Appointees Identified 1990-2016
- 718 CPRT Appointees Submitted NIH Grant Applications After Training Period (34%)
- 386 CPRT Appointees Received Subsequent NIH Funding (54% of Applicants)

Figure 14: Distribution of NIGMS CPRT T32 Trainees Who Applied For NIH Funding By Focus Area

CPRT Appointees who Applied for Post-Intervention NIH Funding

- Average 34%

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Area</th>
<th>Percent Applying for Post-Intervention NIH Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trauma, Burn, and Perioperative</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genetics</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Genetics</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical Pharmacology</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthesiology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 15: Non-NIGMS T32 Program Trainees Who Submitted NIH Grants

![Bar chart showing the number of applications submitted by non-NIGMS T32 Appointees after intervention.](image)

*Non-NIGMS excludes all CPRT appointees

Figure 16: Distribution of NIGMS CPRT T32 Trainees Who Received NIH Funding By Focus Area

![Bar chart showing the percentage of appointees awarded post-intervention NIH funding by focus area.](image)

*All NIH grant mechanisms except loan repayment
Figure 17: Success Rate (Successful Applications / Total Applications by Focus) For NIGMS CPRT T32 Trainees Who Received NIH Funding

**Success Rate** of All Post-Intervention NIH Applications by Focus

![Bar Chart]

*Success Rate = Successful Applications / Total Applications by Focus

Figure 18: Comparison of NIGMS CPRT T32 Trainees With Other NIH Training Pathways In Terms of NIH Grant Applications and Funded Grants

**Appointee and Awardee Post-Intervention NIH Application Behavior and Success**

![Bar Chart]
Figure 19: Average NIH Awards For 5-6% of CPRT T32 Trainees Who Received Multiple NIH Grants Over Their Career, By Focus Area

Figure 20: Number of T32 CPRT Trainees Who Received Any K Award, By Focus Area

T32 CPRT Post-Intervention K Awards Divided by Focus Area

*All NIH grant mechanisms except loan repayment
Figure 21: First Author Publications Per Appointee By Training Mechanism (Year 2000)

Appointee/Awardee First Author Publications (2000)

- Number of First Author Publications
- Percent of Published Appointees
- T32 CPRT
- K08/K23
- MSTP

Figure 22: Total Publications Per Appointee By Training Mechanism (Year 2000)

Appointee/Awardee Author Publications (2000)

- Number of Publications
- Percent of Published Appointees
- T32 CPRT
- K08/K23
- MSTP
Figure 23: Publication Timeline For All MSTP and T32 Trainees